Impact of extracorporeal shock-wave therapy on the stability of temporary anchorage devices in adults: A single-center, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial
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Introduction: In this randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial, we investigated the effect of noninvasive extracorporeal shock waves on the stability of temporary anchorage devices (TADs) under orthodontic loading. Methods: Thirty adult orthodontic patients of the Bernhard Gottlieb University Clinic in Vienna, Austria, were enrolled in this clinical trial and allocated by block randomization (size, 4) in a 1:1 ratio to either the treatment or the placebo group. Randomization was performed with software, and the allocations were concealed in sealed envelopes. Eligibility criteria included healthy adult patients with mesially directed orthodontic movement of the mandibular second molar into the extraction site of the mandibular first molar. The fixed orthodontic devices included active superelastic coil springs (200 cN) and TADs in the mandibular alveolar bone. Blinding was performed for the subjects and the outcome assessor. The treatment group received 1 shock-wave application with 1000 impulses at 0.19 to 0.23 mJ per square millimeter in the region of the TADs. The placebo group was treated with a deactivated shock-wave applicator and acoustic sham. The TADs positions were evaluated at placement and after 4 months. The reliability and precision of the impression process of the TADs were evaluated in an in-vitro model. Results: Thirteen participants finished the investigation successfully in the treatment group but only 12 finished in the placebo group because 1 TAD loosened. The difference of the total TAD displacement for the 4-month time period between the placebo and treatment groups was 0.17 ± 0.95 mm (95% CI: −0.96, 0.62). No statistically significant difference between the 2 groups was found when sex was evaluated. Primary stability of the TADs as measured by placement torque, amount of tooth movement, and age of the patients did not influence displacement of the TADs. The reliability and precision of TAD impressions were confirmed. No unintended pernicious effects occurred after shock-wave treatment during the study period. Conclusions: A single application of extracorporeal shock-wave treatment did not improve the stability of the TADs during orthodontic loading. Sufficient interradicular space should be provided to minimize the risk of periodontal and dental root defects. Registration: This trial was registered at https://clinicaltrials.gov. Protocol: The protocol was published before trial commencement, NCT01695928. Funding: No funding or conflict of interest to be declared. (Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2014;146:413-22)

Over the last decade, temporary anchorage devices (TADs) have become an essential tool in orthodontics as an alternative to compliance-dependent devices such as extraoral headgear traction and intermaxillary elastics. Various types of TADs are being used as aids in critical anchorage situations.1-3 The success of any TAD will depend on several factors such as diameter, length, placement site, placement torque,
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Reports have ranged between 80% and 100%.1,3,10

Thus, an unstable TAD position might result in its loosening, periodontal defects, or root damage; consequently, a stable position of the TAD during orthodontic treatment is of major importance.12,13

Extracorporeal shock waves have become the treatment of choice for kidney and urethral stones in medicine as well as for the recovery of pseudoarthrosis after long-bone fractures, for tendinopathies, and for wound healing.15,16

Shock waves can induce osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and revascularization, but their local and systemic molecular and cellular effects are still unclear.17-19

The effect of extracorporeal shock waves has already been investigated in dentistry. In animal models, shock-wave therapy showed a microbicidal effect against Streptococcus mutans and Porphyromonas gingivalis. Recently, Hazan-Molina et al,20 Kohno et al,21 and Iwasaki et al22,23 investigated the effect of extracorporeal shock waves on tooth movement in an in-vitro model and found a significant increase of selected cytokines: ie, VEGF and interleukin-1β. Furthermore, a bone regenerative effect was found when extracorporeal shock waves were applied after artificial trauma.24-26

Thus, shock waves might have a positive effect on TAD stabilization, accelerating the bone formation process from primary stability to secondary stability.27

Specific objective and hypothesis

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of noninvasive extracorporeal shock–wave therapy on TAD stability. The null hypothesis stated that shock-wave therapy does not stabilize the position of TADs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Trial design

The study design defined the investigation as a single-center, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with a 1:1 allocation ratio performed at the University Clinic of Dentistry in Vienna and approved by the institutional review board (EK 134/2011). The protocol was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01695928) of the

US National Institutes of Health, and this article was written according to the CONSORT statement.

Participants, eligibility criteria, and setting

All study subjects provided informed consent. They were healthy adults undergoing comprehensive orthodontic treatment (Fig 1). The women had a pregnancy test (Femtest; Omega Teknika, Dublin, Ireland) before inclusion. The inclusion criteria defined patients with mesially directed orthodontic movement of the mandibular second molar into the extraction site of the mandibular first molar facilitated by a TAD (Dual Top G2, 1.6 × 8-mm, self-drilling; Jeil Medical, Seoul, Korea). The insertion site of the TAD was between the mandibular first and second premolars in the attached keratinized gingiva.

The full-fixed orthodontic appliance included a self-ligating bracket system (Smartclip, 0.022-in slot; 3M Unitek, Monrovia, Calif), an 0.018 × 0.025-in stainless steel archwire (SDS; Ormco, Glendora, Calif) connecting the posterior and anterior tooth segments, an 0.018 × 0.025-in stainless steel lever arm (SDS; Ormco) inserted in the auxiliary tube of the molar attachment, and an active superelastic coil spring (Sentalloy; GAC Dentsply, Bohemia, NY) between the second molar and the TAD, delivering a continuous force of 200 cN.28

Interventions

The insertion of the TAD was done transmucosally under local anesthesia (Ultracain dental forte; Sanofi Aventis, Paris, France) between the first and second premolars in the keratinized gingiva with a screwdriver perpendicular to the alveolar bone, including primary stability measurement: ie, placement torque (TSD Torque screwdriver; Checkline Europe, Enschede, The Netherlands).29 All TADs were inserted to the contact between the TAD collar and attached gingiva. Root proximity was evaluated radiographically before and after TAD placement to check for sufficient space for insertion and exclude a contact between the TAD and the adjacent root surface at the end of the investigation. The mandibular anterior teeth were bonded lingually with a passive 0.022-in stainless steel retainer wire (Wildcat wire; GAC Dentsply), also serving as the reference area for TAD displacement measurement.

Outcomes (primary and secondary) and any changes after trial commencement

The major outcome of this trial was the TAD displacement measurement. Therefore, impressions of the mandibular dental arch (Flexitime easy putty; Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany) were taken twice: immediately after TAD placement and 4 months later with a bisected
Impression caps (Transfer caps; Tiger-Dental, Bregenz, Austria) were positioned on the TADs. Extraorally, the TADs were put into the TAD impression caps before manufacturing the dental casts. A strip-light scanner (S600 ARTI; Zirkonzahn, Gais, Italy) was used to scan the dental casts of the mandible digitally. A 3-dimensional analysis of TAD displacement was performed using the Onyx Ceph software (Image Instruments, Chemnitz, Germany). The TAD head position and the incisal edges of the rigidly connected mandibular anterior teeth were registered at the beginning and end of the observation period (Fig 2). The relative position of the TAD was evaluated in 3 dimensions. The x-axis represented the vertical (DX), the y-axis the sagittal (DY), and the z-axis the transverse (DZ) displacements of the TAD. The difference between the start and end positions of the TAD represented the total TAD displacement (DR). The systematic error for scanning and digitizing the dental casts was 0.05 mm. The random error for repeated positioning of the same measuring point on the digitally scanned dental casts was 0.1 mm.

The proximity of the TAD insertion site was evaluated for swelling and bleeding at the end of the observation period. Swelling of the gingiva was defined as a proliferation above the TAD collar. Bleeding was evaluated immediately after probing on 2 opposing TAD positions with a calibrated periodontal probe (click-probe; KerrHawe, Bioggio, Switzerland).

The accuracy and reliability of the TAD impression was investigated separately in an in-vitro study. TAD placement was performed on 6 pig jaws, including 2 separate TAD impressions of each TAD, dental cast manufacturing, and digital scanning as described above.
The pig jaws were x-rayed for reference with computed tomography (CT) (Somatom Sensation 4; Siemens, Forchheim, Germany). The measurements were performed digitally between the 2 digital dental cast scans and the CT scans for each TAD on the 4 reference points shown in Figure 3. Each dental cast scan was the iterative closest point superimposed with the outer surface rendered in the corresponding CT scan by a marching cube isosurface algorithm. Surface landmarks MP 1, MP 3, and MP 4 were digitized manually on the scanned or rendered surface by visual feature identification. Landmark MP 2 was used to define the TAD axis direction and digitized in the CT volume as far as possible from the TAD head. All data were stored digitally in a computer (MacBook Pro; Apple, Cupertino, Calif).

For the single shock-wave intervention (Fig 4), all subjects received topical anesthesia (xylocain 2% gel; Astra Zeneca, Vienna, Austria) in the vestibulum between the second molar and the canine. Sonic gel liquid (Gerasonic; Gerot Pharmazeutika, Vienna, Austria) was applied on the cheek as a conduction medium. An ear protector reduced the acoustic disturbance. The subjects of the treatment group were treated with 1000 impulses of extracorporeal shock waves at an energy flux density of 0.19 to 0.23 mJ per square millimeter, with a pulse rate of 5 pulses per second by a focused shock wave device (Orthogold 100; MTS/TNT, Konstanz, Germany). These parameters were defined according to previous shock-wave studies focusing on bone regeneration. Shock waves interfuse soft tissues (silicone membrane of the applicator, skin, cheek, gingiva) and liquids (water, sonic gel liquid, saliva) almost without any loss of energy reaching the alveolar bone where the focus is positioned. At the energy flux densities used, the focal area has an elliptical shape with a length of about 3 cm and a diameter of 6 to 7 mm.15,20

In the placebo group, the subjects were treated with an acoustic sham of the extracorporeal shock wave with the same pulse rate, volume level, and treatment time; the shock-wave applicator was used in deactivated form and in the same manner as in the treatment group.

**Sample size calculation**

The sample size for this clinical trial was calculated according to the study of Liou et al,12 who investigated TAD displacement (primary outcome) under orthodontic loading using a 2-sided t test. The number of patients was 15 per group to detect 0.8 mm of displacement (SD, 0.8 mm) at an alpha level of 0.05 and power of 80%.

**Interim analyses and stopping rules**

Not applicable.

**Randomization (random number generation, allocation concealment, implementation)**

All patients with a TAD for molar mesialization in the mandible were included in this trial. Block randomization (size, 4) was used to allocate patients to treatment or placebo intervention with digital randomization software (Randomizer, version 1.8.1; Institute for Medical...
Informatics, Statistics and Documentation, Medical University of Graz, Austria). The random allocation sequence was printed. The treatment allocation cards were sealed in envelopes with the participants’ initials and ages written on the outside of the envelope. Then the envelopes were locked until the start of treatment.

**Fig 3.** CT scan of the pig jaw insertion site evaluating the accuracy and reliability of the TAD impression. MP1, Measuring point TAD head; MP2, measuring point TAD tip; MP3, measuring point second molar cusp; MP4, measuring point canine cusp.

**Fig 4.** Application of the extracorporeal shock-wave treatment.
This process was performed and monitored by 1 operator (C.A.).

Blinding

Blinding was performed for the subjects as described and for the outcome assessor (C.K.). Blinding of the shock-wave therapist was not established. The results of the measurements were coded by 1 operator for the outcome assessor to ensure blinding.

Statistical analysis (primary and secondary outcomes, subgroup analyses)

The statistical analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon 2-sample test to detect significant differences of TAD displacement in both sexes in the treatment and placebo groups. Associations between total TAD displacement and primary TAD stability, total tooth movement of the mandibular second molar, and age of the participants were analyzed using univariate linear regression for each covariable. The linear tooth movement after the 4-month observation period was measured using defined landmarks (both mesial cusps of the mandibular second molar and the canine cusp).

To assess the accuracy of the TAD impression process in each direction, differences in this direction between the dental cast scan values and the CT pig jaw values in all points were pooled, and mean differences and standard deviations were determined. To assess the reliability of the TAD impression process, differences in each direction between the first and second dental cast scan values in all points were pooled, and means and standard deviations were determined. For assessing the significance of the measured movements of the TAD vs the precision of displacement, variances of the observed displacements were compared with variances between measurements of fixed points for each direction by F-tests. In addition, an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated between the repeated impression measurements.

All statistical calculations were performed with SAS enterprise guide (version 5.1; SAS Software, Cary, NC) and R 3.0.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Participant flow (include flow diagram and time periods)

We initially enrolled 30 subjects. Subsequently, 4 subjects declined to participate immediately before treatment. Recruitment started in June 2011 and ended in April 2012, and the investigation began in November 2011 and ended in December 2012.

Table I. Baseline characteristics of the treatment and placebo groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment (n = 13)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n)</td>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>4/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle Class</td>
<td>I, II/1, II/2, III</td>
<td>4, 3, 4, 2</td>
<td>5, 2, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline data (include baseline table)

Patient characteristics are displayed in Table I. The overall mean age was 31.5 years (SD, 11; range, 18-51 years), with a higher mean age in the treatment group. The distribution between the sexes showed a predominance of female subjects in both groups (women, 61.5%; men, 38.5%). The distribution of the Angle classifications was balanced between the 2 groups.

Numbers analyzed for each outcome (estimation and precision, subgroup analyses)

Mean values and standard deviations of TAD displacements and primary stability are shown in Table II. The total TAD displacements over the 4-month period were $1.7 \pm 1 \text{ mm}$ (95% CI, 1.1-2.2) in the treatment group and $1.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm}$ (95% CI, 0.9-2.1) in the placebo group. No TAD displacement measurements showed a significant difference between the treatment and placebo groups (Table III). The difference of TAD displacement between the sexes was not statistically significant.

The mean primary stability values of the TADs were 8 N cm in the treatment group and 9.1 N cm in the placebo group. One TAD was lost after 2 months in the placebo group because of gingival inflammation and loosening. No significant association between TAD displacement and primary stability was detected ($P = 0.75$).

The mean amounts of tooth movement during the observation period were 2 $\pm 0.4$ mm in the treatment and 1.6 $\pm -0.4$ mm in the placebo group. No statistically significant association between the amount of TAD displacement and the amount of orthodontic tooth movement was seen ($P = 0.16$).

The mean ages of the patients were 33.9 years in the treatment group and 26.6 years in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant association between TAD displacement and the patients’ ages ($P = 0.13$).

Accuracy and reliability of TAD impressions were calculated separately. Table IV shows the characteristics of differences between the dental scan values of the first
and second casts in each direction. ICC values (2,1) for the first and second dental casts were nearly 1 in each vectorial direction. However, because of the large distance between the measurement points relative to the differences between measurements of identical points, the means and standard deviations of the differences between the dental scan values of both casts and the CT values from the casts were significantly lower than the variances of differences between measurements of identical points, primary stability (PS) was measured (N·cm) at the beginning of the observation period.

Table II. Means and standard deviations of TAD displacement (mm) during the 4-month observation period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>−2.13</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DY</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>−3.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>−0.98</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DY</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>−2.87</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>−1.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables include the difference of position in the vertical (DX), sagittal (DZ), and mean (DR) directions; primary stability (PS) was measured (N·cm) at the beginning of the observation period.

Table III. Wilcoxon 2-sample test calculating the significant differences (mm) between groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difference of means</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DX</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DX, Vertical; DY, sagittal; DZ, transverse; DR, mean; PS, primary stability.

Table IV. Differences (mm) between the first and second dental cast scan values pooled over all measuring points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference x</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>(−0.12, 0.11)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference y</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>(−0.12, 0.18)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference z</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>(−0.07, 0.26)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Differences (mm) between the pig jaw scan values and the dental cast scan values pooled over all measuring points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference x</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>(−0.15, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference y</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(−0.18, −0.01)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference z</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>(−0.12, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.6% (placebo group) of the subjects. Bleeding on probing was found in 11.5% (treatment group) and 16.6% (placebo group) of the subjects.

Harms

No unintended pernicious effects occurred after shock-wave treatment during the whole study period. The shock waves did not alter the sensitivity and the acoustic sensation of the patients.

DISCUSSION

The introduction of TADs as maximum anchorage devices is one of the most remarkable innovations in the last 15 years, resulting in various types of TAD applications. Today, TADs of the last generation are considered secure and reliable tools in orthodontics. However, some characteristics concerning stability, tissue deterioration, and loosening of the TAD under orthodontic loading remain unclear. Thus, in this investigation, we attempted to reduce TAD displacement by applying extracorporeal shock-wave treatment.

Main findings in the context of the existing evidence, interpretation

The amount of vectorial TAD displacement was almost identical in the 2 groups: 1.7 mm in the shock-wave group and 1.5 mm in the placebo group. El-Beialy et al reported less vectorial displacement when the TAD insertion site was in the maxilla. The mean TAD displacement in the sagittal direction—displacement along the y-axis—did not significantly differ between the 2 groups. Liou et al reported similar findings when longer and thicker TADs (2 × 17 mm) were
inserted and loaded with 400 cN in the zygomatic buttress of the maxilla. In contrast, Liu et al\textsuperscript{11} reported less displacement when longer TADs (1.6 \times 11 \text{ mm}) were inserted buccally between the first molar and the second premolar and loaded with 150 cN. However, both authors recommended placement of TADs in edentulous bone or maintenance of a 2-mm distance to the adjacent roots, thus reducing the risk of root damage. In this study, maximum TAD displacement was as high as 3.22 mm. Watanabe et al\textsuperscript{8} and Kau et al\textsuperscript{14} found contact with the periodontal ligament in most TAD insertions. This phenomenon might gain more importance when TAD displacement is present, leading to periodontal defects, root damage, or TAD failure.

Extrusion of TADs—ie, displacement along the z-axis—did not significantly differ between the placebo and shock-wave groups in this study, and the measured amounts of extrusion were comparable with those reported in other studies.\textsuperscript{12,13} The possible reason for this might relate to the fact that the angle of insertion was 90\textdegree{} to the alveolar bone in all instances as recommended by others.\textsuperscript{8,13,29}

Despite a wide age range in this study, age had no significant effect on TAD stability. Adolescents were excluded from this study because they might be prone to TAD displacement and failure, a finding that is also supported by a recent meta-analysis.\textsuperscript{4}

In addition, sex also showed no significant association with TAD stability. Comparisons with the literature were limited because only studies focusing on TAD failures showed different outcomes.\textsuperscript{4,9}

Primary stability is an important survival parameter in oral surgery and implantology. No association was found between the degree of primary stability and TAD displacement. The mean placement torque of the TADs in both groups was between 8 and 10 N-cm, which is regarded as the ideal range for skeletal anchorage.\textsuperscript{5,6,31} The optimum time point of force application—immediate vs postponed loading after 3 to 4 weeks—remains unclear.\textsuperscript{27,32} However, force application might have a significant influence, since high force on dental implants leads to tipping, whereas low force application results in progressive bone apposition.\textsuperscript{11,13} In addition, Al Maaitah et al\textsuperscript{35} found an increase of bone density also between the third and sixth months of loading; this might result in a reduction of TAD displacement.

The amount of tooth movement, which could be an indirect indicator of bone turnover, does not seem to be associated with the degree of TAD displacement in this study. Several studies found TAD displacement during orthodontic tooth movement, but no calculations of a potential association were performed.\textsuperscript{11-13}

The validity of the measurements was tested separately. Accuracy and reliability of TAD impression were confirmed because there were no significant differences between the TAD position in the pig jaw CT scans and both dental cast scans. The standard deviations of TAD displacement were significantly larger than the standard deviations of the TAD impression, suggesting that the observed TAD displacements were not a result of errors generated by the impression process.

In both groups, the mucosa surrounding the insertion showed almost the same percentages of edema and bleeding on probing; this might be a side effect of poor oral hygiene.\textsuperscript{35} Although gingival inflammation is a risk factor for TAD failure, only 1 TAD was lost during the observation period in the placebo group. According to the gold standard, all TADs were inserted in keratinized gingivae, reducing the risk of gingival inflammation and failure.\textsuperscript{2,9,27}

**Limitations**

The limitations of this study involve the application of shock-wave therapy in the mandible only. Different bone-quality and soft-tissue characteristics are present in the maxilla that might have an impact on TAD displacement. Because only nongrowing patients were included in this study, the potential of TAD displacement in adolescents remains unknown. The clinical characteristics of patients—the kind of malocclusions treated in university dental clinics—might differ from patients in private practices, also limiting generalizability.

**Generalizability**

The generalizability of these results might be limited because this investigation was performed in 1 center and with a small group of participants. The severity of the malformations might also differ between what is seen at a university clinic and what is seen in private practice. Furthermore, it could be speculated that multiple applications or higher energy flux densities of extracorporeal shock waves would show TAD stability to a greater extent.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. One application of extracorporeal shock-wave treatment did not improve TAD stability during orthodontic loading.
2. Sufficient interradicular space should be provided to minimize the risk of periodontal and dental root defects.

3. The absence of side effects will allow for further shock-wave investigations in the oral cavity.
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